UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/761,464	01/20/2004	Bruce M. Harper	M3221	4385	
	7590 12/01/200 GITAL TECHNOLOG	EXAMINER			
ATTN: LESLE 20511 LAKE F	Y NING	VARGOT, MATHIEU D			
E-118G	OKEST DK.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LAKE FORES'	Г, СА 92630	1791			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
		12/01/2008	PAPER		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summany		А	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		1	10/761,464		HARPER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			xaminer		Art Unit				
			lathieu D. Vargo		1791				
۔۔ Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	nication appear	rs on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO perion Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M as of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr iod for reply is specified above, the maximum st or reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. atutory period will a v will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS CO  ). In no event, howe  pply and will expire suse the application to	MMUNICATION over, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to be become ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠ R4	esponsive to communication(s) file	ad on 13 Augu	set 2008						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 August 2008</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
<b>'</b>		<i>'</i> —			secution as to the	morite ie			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Cit	osed in accordance with the practi	ice under Ex p	Jaile Quayle,	1933 C.D. 11, 43	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	of Claims								
4)⊠ CI	P)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 27-37</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□ CI	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 27-37</u> is/are rejected.								
· ·	aim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	aim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or el	ection require	ment					
0, 0.	a(5) a. 5 5 a.s.j 5 c. 15 15 c. 15	31.011 0110, 01 01	oodon roquii o						
Application	Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)∐ Th	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Ap	plicant may not request that any obje	ction to the dra	wing(s) be held	in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Re	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of 2) Notice of 3) Informat	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) b(s)/Mail Date		4) <u> </u>	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	(PTO-413) te				

Application/Control Number: 10/761,464

Art Unit: 1791

1.Claims 1-7 and 27-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 2

Upon reconsideration of the case, the claims are indefinite as failing to set forth the proper nexus between the elements so that it would be readily understood what the functions and interactions between the elements are. For one thing, applicant should insert a preamble at line one of the independent claims detailing exactly what the apparatus does. Ie, language such -for embossing a substrate-should be inserted after "Apparatus" at line 1 of the independent claims. In claims 1, 27, 29 and 33, the relationship between the mandrel, the rod portion thereof, the ball bushing, the outer sleeve and the ring portion is vague and should be set forth with greater specificity. For instance, what is the ring portion a "portion" of? By using the terminology "rod portion", it is presumed that the rod portion is part of the mandrel. However, there is nothing in the claims indicating what ring portion is part of. In claim 1, how does the different coefficient of thermal expansion for the outer sleeve affect the structure? Also in claims 1, 27 and 33, exactly how—ie, with what structure-- does the ring portion hold a precise alignment of the rod portion and the embossing foil? In claims 4, 27 and 35, how does the thermal expansion of the ball bushing secure the ring portion to the inner dimension of the embossing foil? Also, how does this center the substrate with the foil? Applicant needs to set forth the nexus between these elements so that it is understood how these functions are performed. In claims 5, 30 and 33, how does the outer sleeve lift a center portion of the embossing foil? Again, applicant is requested to provide the structural

Art Unit: 1791

relationship between these elements. Finally, it is unclear how instant claims 7, 32 and 37 actually limit the apparatus. Given that the apparatus is embossing a disk, this language would be better recited in the preamble of the claim.

2.Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's comments with respect to the art rejection are persuasive. However, upon closer review of the claims, it is submitted that the claims need to be set forth with greater specificity concerning the interaction and relationship between the elements. As the claims stand now, they constitute a listing of elements without really defining the relationship—ie, nexus—between the elements.

3.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on 571 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/761,464 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot November 24, 2008 /Mathieu D. Vargot/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791